

Ross Bozarth, SBN 179171  
GUENARD & BOZARTH, LLP  
8830 Elk Grove Blvd.  
Elk Grove, CA 95624  
Telephone: (916) 714-7672  
Facsimile: (916) 714-9031

Roger S. Davidheiser, WSBA #18638 PHV  
FRIEDMAN RUBIN PLLP  
1109 First Ave., Ste. 501  
Seattle, WA 98101  
Telephone: (206) 501-4446  
Facsimile: (206) 623-0794

Attorneys for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JASON JOHNSON,  
Plaintiff,

vs.

SHARKNINJA OPERATING LLC, and DOES  
1 to 100 INCLUSIVE,  
Defendants.

) Case No. 2:22-cv-01452-KJM SCR

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

**STIPULATION AND ORDER TO AMEND  
THE AUGUST 27, 2024, MINUTE ORDER  
SCHEDULING DATES FOR MOTION FOR  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND MOTION TO  
EXCLUDE EXPERT WITNESSES  
HEARING**

Plaintiff Jason Johnson (“Plaintiff”) and Defendant SharkNinja Operating LLC (“SharkNinja”) (jointly “parties”) hereby file their Stipulation to Amend the August 27, 2024, Minute Order (Docket Number 34) to continue the Dispositive Motion Deadline and date to hear Defendant’s Motion to Exclude Expert Witnesses to November 22, 2024.

**A. The Nature of the Case and the Claims.**

On September 12, 2020, Plaintiff was using a SharkNinja pressure cooker. Plaintiff alleges that the pressure cooker's lid unexpectedly and suddenly blew off the pot in an explosive manner. Plaintiff further alleges that the contents of the pressure cooker were forcefully ejected out of the pot and onto Plaintiff, causing severe, disfiguring burns to his arms, chest and stomach.

Plaintiff asserts claims for Strict Products Liability and Negligence. Plaintiff is seeking damages for: (1) past medical expenses; (2) past and future physical pain and suffering; (3) past and future mental pain and suffering; (4) lost wages; (5) loss of full enjoyment permanent injuries; (6) physical impairment; (7) loss of enjoyment of life; and (8) punitive damages.

On August 22, 2024, Defendant filed its Motion for Summary Judgment and Motion to Exclude Expert Witnesses with a Note Date of September 26, 2024. (Docket 29 and 30) On that same day, the Court Ordered that the case be reassigned and that all due dates and hearings were vacated. (Docket Number 32) On August 26, 2024, the case was reassigned to Judge Mueller. (Docket Number 33) On August 27, 2024, the court reset the Dispositive Motions and Motion to Exclude Expert witnesses hearing to October 4, 2024, and a briefing schedule pursuant to Local Rule 230. (Docket Number 34)

The parties have conferred and in light of scheduling issues jointly propose the below changes to the Court's Minute Orders outlined above.

**B. Requested Changes to the Court's Minute Order of August 27, 2024**

The Parties request the following changes to the Court's Minute Order of August 27, 2024 (Docket Number 34):

Event	Current Date	Requested Date
Dispositive Motion Deadline/Hearing on Motion for Summary Judgment and Motion to Exclude Expert Witnesses	October 4, 2024	November 22, 2024
Response to Motion for Summary Judgment and Motion to Exclude Expert Witnesses		October 18, 2024
Reply to Motion for Summary Judgment and Motion to Exclude Expert Witnesses		November 8, 2024

**C. Good Cause for the Requested Changes**

The Parties jointly bring before the Court this Stipulation for their fourth request for continuance. This request for an extension will allow the Parties to accommodate their schedules

1 and provide additional time to prepare their respective response and reply to the previously-filed  
2 Motion for Summary Judgment and Motion to Exclude Expert Witnesses.

3 Under Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b)(4), “[a] schedule may be modified only for good cause and with  
4 the judge’s consent.” “Good cause” is a non-rigorous standard that has been construed broadly  
5 across procedural and statutory contexts. *Ahanchian v. Xenon Pictures, Inc.*, 624 F.3d 1253, 1259  
6 (9th Cir. 2010). The good cause standard focuses on the diligence of the party seeking to amend the  
7 scheduling order and the reasons for seeking modification. *Johnson v. Mammoth Recreations, Inc.*,  
8 975 F.2d 604, 609 (9th Cir. 1992). “[T]he court may modify the schedule on a showing of good  
9 cause if it cannot reasonably be met despite the diligence of the party seeking the extension.” Fed. R.  
10 Civ. P. 16, advisory committee’s notes to 1983 amendment. Therefore, “a party demonstrates good  
11 cause by acting diligently to meet the original deadlines set forth by the court.” *Merck v. Swift*  
12 *Transportation Co.*, No. CV-16-01103-PHX-ROS, 2018 WL 4492362, at \*2 (D. Ariz. Sept. 19,  
13 2018).

14 Good cause exists for a continuance of the deadline for hearing of the Defendant’s Motions  
15 for Summary Judgment and to Exclude Expert Witnesses. The Parties have been diligent and have  
16 worked collegially in this matter. The parties met and conferred to discuss the need for the  
17 continuance, and all agreed it was necessary.

18  
19 DATED September 4, 2024.

Respectfully Submitted

20  
21 GUENARD & BOZARTH, LLP

22  
23 By: s/Ross Bozarth

Ross Bozarth, CA Bar #179171

24  
25 DATED September 4, 2024.

FRIEDMAN | RUBIN, PLLP

26  
27 By: s/Roger S. Davidheiser

Roger S. Davidheiser, WA Bar #18638 PHV

28 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Jason Johnson

DATED September 4, 2024.

Respectfully Submitted

SHOOK, HARDY & BACON L.L.P.

By: /s/ Abigail Hudson

Abigail Hudson, CA Bar #327632  
Michael Cappo, MO Bar #65823 Pro Hac Vice  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SharkNinja Operating LLC

**ORDER**

The parties' stipulated request to extend time is GRANTED. The Dispositive Motion deadline and Motion to Exclude Expert Witnesses is hereby extended from October 4, 2024, to November 22, 2024.

It is further ordered that the deadlines for response and reply to the previously filed Motion for Summary Judgment and Motion to Exclude Expert Witnesses are extended to October 18, 2024, and November 8, 2024, respectively.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: September 6, 2024.

  
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on this day, a copy of the foregoing document was served on the following individuals via the manner indicated below:

Abigail Hudson, CA Bar No. 327632 Shook, Hardy & Bacon LLP 2121 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1400 Los Angeles, CA 90067 <a href="mailto:ahudson@shb.com">ahudson@shb.com</a> <i>Attorney for Defendant</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Messenger <input type="checkbox"/> US Mail, postage prepaid <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Email <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> USDC EDC E-Filing Portal
Michael Cappel, MO Bar No. 65823 PHV Shook, Hardy & Bacon LLP 2555 Grand Blvd. Kansas City, MO 64108 <a href="mailto:mcappel@shb.com">mcappel@shb.com</a> <i>Attorney for Defendant</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Messenger <input type="checkbox"/> US Mail, postage prepaid <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Email <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> USDC EDC E-Filing Portal

I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the state of Washington, that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated September 4, 2024.

s/Trish Bashaw  
 Trish Bashaw, Paralegal  
**FRIEDMAN | RUBIN, PLLP**